

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,383	09/30/2003	Harold Fisher	3589.68503	6841	
7	590 10/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOUIS TESSIER			PETRIK, KAI	RI KRISTEN	
P.O. BOX 5402 TMR, H3P 3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3772		
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/675,383		FISHER, HAROLD		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kari Petrik	3772		

	Kari Petrik	3772	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in citime periods:	r to or on the same day as filing a the following replies: (1) an amer (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 6 months from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for repl Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either b 	ly expire later than SIX MONTHS from lox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing date of the final reject	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See		27 CER 1 136(a) and the appropri	nto ovtansion foe
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	riod of extension and the correspond ite of the shortened statutory period f Office later than three months after the	ing amount of the fee. The appropi or reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	f in compliance with 37 CEP 41 3	7 must be filed within two mont	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	insting but asing to the date of Ei		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re (a) They raise new issues that would require form (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NC (c) They are not deemed to place the application 	urther consideration and/or searc DTE below);	h (see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without can		f finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37		of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju			ant concelled the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s).		-	-
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows 	ed is provided below or appended		explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e) 	good and sufficient reasons why		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is n	ailed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections u	nder appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An ex REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	planation of the status of the cla	ms after entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered. 	dered but does NOT place the ap	plication in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🔲 Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s	s)	
13. Other:			
		al Sou	w

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The prior art of record meets the claimed structural limitations as shown in the office action mailed 3/7/2006. The claims contain functional language, for exaple "formed by folding said strap second end portion substantially tansversly...", which is treated as a product-by-process limitation. Therefore, since the prior art meets the structural limitations or is capable of performing the function the rejection still stands. The ecaminer recomends amending the claims to include more structural limitations as opposed to functional language.